TREATABILITY STUDIES AND TREATABILITY STUDIES REPORTS

Treatability studies are performed as necessary and appropriate for the waste materials and evaluation of treatment options. If any treatability studies are performed, the report should be completed and submitted, even if the recommendation is not to use the process. Contracting for treatability studies is difficult and inappropriate before the contaminants and contaminated media are identified and quantified. It is a good idea to include an option for treatability studies in most predesign scopes. Treatability studies are not always required.

See the EPA "Guidance for Conducting Treatability Studies Under CERCLA," EPA/540/R-92/071a October 1992 for general guidelines.

The process engineer (either an environmental engineer with process design experience or a chemical engineer with design experience), the geologist (if the treatability study would be testing the withdrawal of ground water or soil vapor), the geotechnical engineer (if the contaminated media is soil), and the chemist need to be involved in development of the scope of any treatability study.

1. Identifying Sources for Results of Previous Treatability Studies on Similar Materials

1.1 Literature Search/Expert Judgment

Reports and Documents

Guidance for Conducting Remedial Investigations and Feasibility Studies

Superfund Treatability Clearinghouse Abstracts

The Superfund Innovative Technology Evaluation Program: Technology Profiles

Summary of Treatment Technology Effectiveness for Contaminated Soil

1.2 Electronic Data Bases

1.3 EPA Personnel Consultations through EPA RPM

2. Treatability Study Workplan Outline

The treatability study workplan should be submitted and approved before initiation of the sampling for treatability studies. Chemists, geologists, geotechnical engineers, industrial hygienists, process design engineers, and regulatory personnel should review the workplan for a treatability study. This plan would be considered an attachment to the project workplan and would not, to the extent practical, reiterate information presented in the project workplan.

2.1 Background2.1.1 Project Description

2.1.2 Remedial Technology Description and Process Flow Diagrams

neutralization of the toxicity is discouraged by the National Contingency Plan.

2.1.3 Previous Results with Similar Influent Materials

List references and describe the limitations of similarity. *****************

2.2 Treatability Test Objectives

Refer to section 1 of the RI/FS outline for the appropriate approach to determining objectives. Also refer to section 2.1 of the RI/FS for information on scoping Contractor involvement in developing objectives. See Enclosure 11, Alternative Development and Selection.

- 2.2.1 Remedy Screening Qualitative 2.2.2 Remedy Selection Quantitative
- 2.2.3 Establishing Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) -Precision, Accuracy, Representative Completeness, and Comparability (PARCC) Representativeness,
- 2.3 Approach
- 2.4 Reporting Requirements
- 2.5 Schedule and Level of Effort
 - 2.5.1 Schedule

The draft treatability study should be submitted for review and comment before disassembly of the equipment. Bench scale tests should be performed before the ROD is prepared.

Bench scale test: laboratory validation of treatment processes. Tests are normally batch or equilibrium adaptations of the steady state processes. Tests may be performed on actual or simulated waste material. Spiking of actual waste or simulation is frequently necessary to test for worst conditions.

Screening tests should be performed early in the alternative development process. There are some new, quick and inexpensive, methods and facilities available for preliminary screening at EPA RREL in Cincinnati. If these EPA facilities ETL 1110-1-154 28 Feb 94

are considered, RREL may have an SOP that is adequate for the scope. Ask for a copy and review it to see if it meets the needs of the project.

Other batch tests should be performed after the site has been characterized, late in the RI or early in the FS, for appropriate sample selection.

Analyses for interferences are easily performed in the batch mode. Most divalent metal ions interfere with continuous operation of oxidation processes and air stripping. Accuracy of plus or minus 0.05 ppm is appropriate for the prevalent cations and hardness.

Pilot tests are demonstration tests that simulate a process closely enough to determine design parameters for full scale unit operations. A pilot test is normally conducted on actual waste material, although some spiking is used to determine capacity or to simulate worst anticipated field conditions. Pilot tests often attempt to simulate worst conditions. Pilot studies may be performed to determine equipment capacity and range of operation parameters (i.e. concentration, temperature, contact, residence, or detention time) required to obtain the performance objectives.

2.5.2 Level of Effort

Remedy screening Study scale: bench

Data generated: qualitative

Process type: batch

Waste stream volume: small

Number of replicates: single/duplicate

Time required: days

Cost range: \$10,000-\$50,000

Remedy selection

Study scale: bench-full

Data generated: quantitative

Process type: batch or continuous Waste stream volume: medium to large

Number of replicates: duplicate/triplicate

Time required: days/months Cost range: \$50,000-\$250,000

2.5.3 Budget

2.6 Experimental Design and Procedures

- 2.6.1 Experimental Design
- 2.6.2 Detailed Outline of the Procedures

detail of the procedures to be used in performing the treatability study.

- 2.6.2.1 Methods
- 2.6.2.2 Procedures
- 2.6.2.3 Sample Material Handling
- 2.6.2.4 Treated Material Handling
- 2.6.2.5 Process Residuals Handling
- 2.7 Equipment and Materials

2.7.1 Equipment

- 2.7.2 On-line Monitors
- 2.7.3 Other Instrumentation.

Field type instrumentation is satisfactory for most pilot scale work with full laboratory data quality management implemented only on selected samples before and after treatment. The workplan should indicate the instrumentation to be used.

Measure parameters that affect field implementation; ultimate disposal; mechanical stability of residual solids; effects of freeze thaw cycles; dust generation; water absorption or loss pH and pH changes; temperature and temperature changes; heat loss; heat gain

2.8 Chemical Data Acquisition Plan/Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP)

This does not replace the RI/FS sampling requirements, it merely cites special considerations for treatability studies. This plan will essentially incorporate the elements of the EPA's Field Sampling Plan, Quality Assurance Project Plan, and Data Management Plan. Depending on the nature of the field activities needed for the treatability study, a Monitoring Well installation and Drilling Plan may be required.

The handling of gross samples should be as similar as possible to the handling of the analytical samples. See Enclosure 13: Chemistry Technical Requirements.

As an option, the sample collection section and the sample analysis and validation sections can be broken out as separate tasks. Given the limited nature of the sampling in many studies and the important role chemical analysis may have in treatability studies, they are discussed under the treatability study task.

The chemist should consult with the process engineer to determine what analytical parameters are to be monitored during the treatment process. Analytical levels II, III, IV, or V may apply to these studies. Data reporting format and turnaround time may need to be specified in this section, depending upon users needs.

Field samples may not represent the predicted worst case. Analyze portions of the samples before shipment to the treatability study laboratory. At a minimum, treatability testing should be performed under worst case conditions and under typical or average conditions. It may be necessary to provide supplemental contaminants.

Volume estimates on the amount to be treated should be provided or a cross reference to the appropriate part of the treatability study plan be provided.

Field sample waste streams for characterization and testing, conduct treatability tests, analyze samples of treated materials and residuals

The SOW should have the Contractor estimate the projected volume of material to be treated to determine equipment capacity.

For appropriate sample selection, pilot tests should be performed after overall site characterization (QA/QC documentation need not be complete), concurrent with alternative selection and ROD development, before initiation of design.

Final Treatability Study Reports may be submitted concurrently with the RI/FS or separately.

For Quality Assurance issues, coordinate with and refer to the project workplan quality assurance section. Quality assurance needed for remedy screening is the least stringent; for remedy selection, moderately stringent QA is appropriate.

For data analysis and data interpretation, see Enclosure 11: Alternative Development and Selection for a discussion of alternatives.

2.9 Site Safety and Health Plan/ Health and Safety Plan

2.10 Residuals Management and Compliance with the Regulatory Requirements

2.10.1 Residuals Management

2.10.1.1 On Site

2.10.1.2 Off Site

The regulatory specialist must confirm that off-site lab facility to run treatability tests is permitted or plans to operate under the RCRA treatability exclusions in 40 CFR 261.4 (e) and (f). If the treatability exclusion is to be used, state regulations must be considered and the CFR must be carefully read to minimize adverse impacts on the project. Some impacts can be handled through scoping.

2.11 Community Relations

The community relations plan for the pilot study must be in concert with the project community relations plan. Remedy screening: low profile/few activities

Remedy selection of f site: generally not controversial and low profile/few activities

- 2.12 Management and Staffing
- 2.13 Outline for the Treatability Study Report
- 3. Treatability Study Report Format Outline
 - 3.1 Introduction
 - 3.1.1 Site Description
 - 3.1.2 Waste Stream Description
 - 3.1.3 Treatment Technology Description
 - 3.1.4 Previous Treatability Studies at the Site
 - 3.2 Conclusions and Recommendations
 - 3.2.1 Conclusions
 - 3.2.2 Recommendations
 - 3.3 Treatability Study Approach
 - 3.3.1 Test Objectives and Rationale
 - 3.3.2 Experimental Design and Procedures
 - 3.3.2.1 Design
 - 3.3.2.2 Procedures
 - 3.3.2.3 Discussion of any Variations from the Work plan.
 - 3.3.3 Equipment and Materials
 - 3.3.4 sampling and Analysis
 - 3.3.4.1 Analyses or Reference to the Appropriate Report.
 - 3.3.4.2 A/QC Report or Reference to the Appropriate Report.
 - 3.3.5 Data Management
 - 3.3.6 Derivatives from the Work plan
 - 3.4. Results and Discussion
 - 3.4.1 Data Analysis and Interpretation
 - 3.4.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control
 - 3.4.3 Identification of additional testing needs
 - 3.4.4 Cost/Schedules for Performing the Treatability Study
 - 3.4.5 Key Contacts

****************** All Superfund/N.L. treatability reports are submitted to RREL Treatability Data Base Repository, organized by the EPA Office of Research and Development. Attn: Mr. Glenn Schaul REEL Treatability Data Base U.S. EPA ORD Risk Reduction Engineering Laboratory 26 West Martin Luther King Drive Cincinnati, OH 45268 3.4.6 References 3.4.7 Standard Operating Procedures 3.4.8 Data Summaries 3.4.9 All Side Notations from Laboratory Books These notes may have significant value

- 4. Appendices to the Treatability Study
 - 4.1 Sample Calculations Showing
 - 4.1.1 Use of generated Data
 - 4.1.2 Identification of all Variables
 - 4.1.2.1 Measured
 - 4.1.2.1.1 Range of Experimentally Determined Values for the Variables.
 - 4.1.2.1.2 Sensitivity to variation.
 - 4.1.2.2 Calculated
 - 4.1.2.3 Assumed
 - 4.1.2.2 Unknown
 - 4.2 Process Flow Diagrams
 - 4.2.1 Flow Diagram
 - 4.2.2 Material Balance Showing Average Values
 - 4.3 Summary of the Data
 - 4.4 Scale-up Considerations
 - 4.4.1 Performance
 - 4.4.2 Operation and Maintenance
 - 4.5 Identification of the Limits of the Process as Indicated by the Results
- 5. Specific Process Recommendations
 - 5.1 Air Stripping

ETL 1110-1-154 28 Feb 94

parameters, etc., should be evaluated.

pH hardness cations

alkalinity

Bench scale tests typically do not yield useful data for design of full scale stripping systems. More useful data can be obtained from literature searches and packing manufacturers' technical data sheets.

Pilot scale tests are generally not necessary. Adequate data is available.

Design should maximize effluent VOC concentration in the exhaust gas to lower off gas treatment cost.

5.2 Biological Treatment

Pilot work should consider variations in the site. Ensure that analyses cover all required parameters. Monitor VOC emissions.

"Guide for Conducting Treatability Studies under CERCLA: Aerobic Biodegradation Remedy Screening" published by EPA REEL in Cincinnati is a good resource document. It is not a stand alone set of instructions for all biological treatment studies.

5.3 Carbon Adsorption 5.3.1 Vapor Phase

Ideal gas behavior is approximated, but data on removals to reach the low levels to meet ambient air standards is not generally available and is difficult to measure under dynamic conditions. Vapors from vapor extraction sites are normally saturated or super saturated. Of f gas from strippers is near saturation. If the humidity is not reduced, the water vapor condenses in the adsorber and consumes carbon capacity.

5.3.2 Liquid Phase

Isotherms do not simulate steady state conditions. Dynamic testing is required to evaluate the required time of contact to reach the requirement limit. It is difficult to achieve breakthrough in mini columns.

5.4 Dechlorination/Soil Washing

5.5 Solidification/Stabilization

Solidification/stabilization treatability study scopes are covered by a separate ETL.

Considerations:

for design.

Physical properties

Materials handling characteristics

Generic mix design.

Proprietary additives.

5.6 Thermal Desorption/Incineration

If there are any Contractor requested changes to the WES protocol the district process engineer should be involved in the changes.

"Guide for Conducting Treatability Studies under CERCLA: Thermal Desorption Remedy Selection" is being prepared by EPA contract.

Obtain an adequate and representative sample. The Contractor should be responsible for sample collection,

packaging and shipping to WES if WES does the study.

Characterize/analyze a sample of the sample prior to shipment Consider parameters that affect VOC removal rates.

Undisturbed moisture content of sample

BTU content of sample

Temperature

Air and/or oxygen flow

Residence time

Time and temperature curves

Consider problems

Slag formation

Partitioning of the metals: Keep track of where the metals are.

Materials handling: Soil characterization including liquid limit, plastic limit, etc.

If the feed material contains significant amounts of heavy metals, produce enough ash for solidification/stabilization tests while the incineration test is going. Provide adequate material for the unit to achieve steady state before measurements are made to determine the operating parameters. Enough samples to represent the entire site should be processed.

_ *************************

_		~ ' 7	T T		
h	. /	$\leq \cap 1$	Vapor	ゖ゚゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゚゚゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゚゚゙゙゙゙゙゙゙゙	action
_	• /		Vapor	PACEC	$\iota \cup \cup \bot \cup \iota \iota$

5.8 Floating Product Recovery

5.9 Catalyzed Oxidation

5.10 Adsorption and Ion Exchange

5.11 Emerging Technologies

5.12 Solvent Extraction

5.13 Other Treatment Processes
